

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/671,693	09/29/2003		Lester Cornelius	28,332-CIP	7411
7:	590	08/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Charles E. Temko 22 Marion Road			NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S		
Westport, CT 06880				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1773	
			•	DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				liv.			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/671,693	CORNELIUS, LESTER				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		D. S. Nakarani	1773				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REAL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stare ply received by the Office later than three months after the may be about the main term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the fold will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication NBANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	1.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	September 2003.					
,	•	his action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	lrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachmen			_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/671,693

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 2, the phrase 'first inner synthetic resinous layer" renders claims indefinite because it is not clear from the claim language that the first layer is an inner with respect to what since only two layers are recited.

3. Claims 4-6 are contains the trademark/trade name "Acryloid A-21," "Uvitex OB," "Tinuvin 328," "GR 653 polysiloxane resin," Desmodur N-75." "Desmophen 670 A-80," "Johncryl 537," "Uvinal D 40," "OBA Quencher" and "SHC 4000". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to

Application/Control Number: 10/671,693

Art Unit: 1773

identify/describe acrylic resin, optical brightener, ultraviolet absorber, silicone resin, urethane resin etc and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miro (U.S. Patent 6,166,852) in view of Pavelka et al (U.S. Patent 5,387,458).

Miro discloses window treatment film (Fig.2) comprising a non weatherable polyester film (17) bonded on one side a weatherable polyester film containing an ultraviolet absorber (15) and another an adhesive layer (16) containing optical brightener i.e. fluorescent material (col. 6, lines 5-16). Miro fails to disclose combination of ultraviolet absorber and an optical brightener in the adhesive layer.

Pavelka et al disclose an article comprising fluorescent layer and ultraviolet screening layer over the fluorescent layer (abstract). Pavelka et al teach that incorporating ultraviolet absorber with fluorescent material provides some improvement in fluorescent durability (col. 4, lines 53-59).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention made to utilize disclosure of Pavelka et al in the invention of Miro to add Art Unit: 1773

ultraviolet absorber in the adhesive containing fluorescent material to improve durability of fluorescent material.

No claims are allowed.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. S. Nakarani whose telephone number is (571) 272-1512. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. S. Nakarani/af Auguste 4, 2004.

D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER